OTPE 429 1006 8

AFIN

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
-------------	--------

.6	Application Number	09/998,914		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	November 30, 2001		_
FORM	First Named Inventor	Richard P. Sinn		
	Art Unit	2131		
be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Christian A. Laforgia	•	
Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	21756-013600		

Total Number of Pages in T		Attorney Docket Number	2175	21756-013600		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal For Fee Attach Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/d Extension of Time f Express Abandonm Information Disclos	eclaration(s) Request ent Request	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition To Director of Tech Conder 37 CFR 1.181 (3 pages) Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence of Corresp	Center with n Address	Approf Approf (Approf Approf A	peal Cor Appeals peal Cor peal Not oprietary itus Lett ner Enclow):	ance Communication to TC mmunication to Board and Interferences mmunication to TC ice, Brief, Reply Brief) Information er osure(s) (please identify
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name						
Signature Towns	send and Townsend an	d Crew LLP				
Printed name Willian	m J. Daley					
Date March	29, 2006	Reg	. No.	52,471		
Express Mail Label: EV 326936772 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with "Express Mail Post Office to Address" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on this date March 29, 2006 and is addressed to: Mail Stop AF,						
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature						
Typed or printed name Sara B. McPeak Date March 29, 2006					March 29, 2006	



"Express Mail" Label No.: EV 326936772 US

Date of Deposit: March 29, 2006

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR

1 10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to:

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sara B. McPeak

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Richard P. Sinn

Application No.: 09/998,914

Filed: November 30, 2001

For: OBTAINING AND MAINTAINING REAL TIME CERTIFICATE STATUS

Customer No. 51206

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Confirmation No. 4276

Examiner:

Christian A. Laforgia

Docket No.: 21756-013600

Client Ref. No.: OID-2005-162-12

Art Unit:

2131

PETITION TO DIRECTOR OF

TECHNICAL CENTER 2100 UNDER 37

C.F.R.§ 1.181

In response to the Final Office Action mailed January 30, 2006 on the above-referenced application, this Petition to the Director of Technical Center 2100 under 37 C.F.R §1.181 is being filed contemporaneously with an Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2131. Applicant respectfully submits that a Requirement for Information under 37 C.F.R. 1.105 in the Final Office Action mailed January 30, 2006 is improper and therefore requests that the Requirement be withdrawn.

The Final Office Action has made a Requirement for Information under 37 C.F.R. §1.105 regarding five (5) references (the "SiteMinder documents") originally cited in an Information Disclosure Statement filed by the Applicants on March 12, 2004. More Richard P. Sinn Application No. 09/998,914 Page 2

specifically, the Requirement for Information as stated in the Final Office Action requests, because of the voluminous nature of the references, an explanation of the references. Furthermore, the Final Office Action states that the references have been "placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered."

However, the first Office Action dated July 14, 2005 included a copy of the Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement in which the SiteMinder documents were cited. In this copy, dated July 8, 2005, the SiteMinder documents were initialed and the Information Disclosure Statement was signed by the Examiner indicating that the references had in fact been considered. Attached is a copy of the Information Disclosure Statement filed by the Applicant, signed and dated by the Examiner July 8, 2005, wherein the Examiner had initialed all of the five (5) SiteMinder documents.

Additionally, as stated in MPEP §704.11(b):

"A requirement may be made at any time once the necessity for it is recognized and should be made at the earliest opportunity after the necessity is recognized. The optimum time for making a requirement is prior to or with a first action on the merits because the examiner has the maximum opportunity to consider and apply the response. Ordinarily, a request for information should not be made with or after a final rejection." [emphasis added]

Applicant respectfully argues that if there was a necessity for such information it should have been recognized prior to issuance of the first Office Action and therefore the Requirement for Information should have been made in the first Office Action. Rather, the first Office Action included a signed copy of the Information Disclosure Statement filed by the Applicant with the SiteMinder references initialed indicating that the references had in fact been considered even without the newly required information and in spite of the voluminous nature of the references. Therefore, the Applicant believes that the Requirement for Information is improper and should be withdrawn.

Richard P. Sinn Application No. 09/998,914 Page 3

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully argues that the Requirement for Information is not proper as it is made in the Final Office Action. Regarding a Requirement for Information made with or after a final rejection, MPEP §704.11(b) goes on to state:

"A requirement for information is not proper when no further action would be taken by the examiner. The reasonable necessity criteria for a requirement for information implies further action by the examiner. This means that actions in which requirements for information necessary for examination are made should generally be a non-final action because the applicant's reply must be considered and applied as appropriate." [emphasis added]

For at least these reasons, Applicant believes that the Requirement for Information is improper. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this Requirement for Information.

The Commissioner is authorized to deduct any Fee for this Petition to Director from the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 20-1430. Please deduct any additional fees from, or credit any overpayment to, the above-noted Deposit Account.

CONCLUSION

If the Director believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at: 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,

William J. Daley Reg. No. 52,471

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: (303) 571-4000 (Denver)

Tel: (303) 571-4000 (Denver) Fax: (303) 571-4321 (Denver)

WJD:sbm

Enclosure 60734746 v1

PTO/SB/08B (08-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE to persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known **Application Number** 09/998,914 **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Filing Date** November 30, 20 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT **First Named Inventor** Sinn Art Unit 2182 (Use as many sheets as necessary) Technology Center 2100 **Examiner Name** Attorney Docket Number Sheet

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²,
II		LEON, McAfee's NetTools Promises to Ease Network Desktop Diagnosis, InfoWorld, San Mateo, 7/24/1995, Vol. 17, Iss. 30, p. 53.	
est		COONEY, IBM rolls out host- and server-based mgmt. apps, Network World, Framingham, 2/6/1995, Vol. 12, Iss. 6, pp. 6-7.	
lle		WALSH, Remedy releases three applications for help-desk suite, InfoWorld, San Mateo, 4/21/1997, Vol. 19, Iss. 16, p. 34.	
Oft	·	SCHMERSAL, Testing to maintain service standards, Communications News, Nokomis, Mar. 1998, Vol. 35, Iss. 3, pp. 22-23.	
es		MUSTHALER, The trouble with help desk selection, Network World, FramIngham, Feb. 20, 1995, Vol. 12, Iss. 8, pp. 35-39.	
Off		Clear Trust, Unified Access Management, Securant Technologies, Inc., pp. 1-23, 1997.	*
BLT		SiteMinder Agent Operations, Verson 4.0, Netegrity Inc., 1997	
CH		SiteMinder Deployment Guide, Version 4.0, Netegrily Inc., 1997	
ST		SiteMinder Policy Server Operations Guide, Version 4.0, Netegrity Inc., 1997	•
aff		SileMinder Developer's API Gulde, Version 4.0, Netegrity Inc., 1997	ণ্ঠ

Examiner	10-151	Date	7/01-0
Signature	(Me Let	Considered	418105

*EXAMINER: Initial II reference confidered, whether or not elitation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered, include copy of this form with next semmanication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with next-seminantication to applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

U.S. Palent e required to respond to a collection	Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 and Trademark Office; U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known	ù
Application Number	09/998,914	
Filing Date	November 30, 2001 RECEIVEL)
First Named Inventor	Sinn MAR 1 7 2004	
Art Unit	2182	
Examiner Name	Technology Center 2)(
Attorney Docket Number	OBLX-01039US0	
	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name	Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE a required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known Application Number 09/998,914 Filing Date November 30, 2001 RECEIVEL First Named Inventor Sinn MAR 1 7 2004 Art Unit 2182 Examiner Name Technology Center 2

Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
CFF		SiteMinder Installation Guide, Version 4.0, Netegrity Inc., 1997	
		*	
			·
·			

Examiner		Date	7/8/00
Signature	/ W / T	Considered	470100

*EXAMINER: Initial inselection of the incompanies and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include capy of this form with next Communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² Applicant Is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, Including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.